

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/737,326	Applicant(s) DAHLSTEDT ET AL.	
	Examiner Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

 (1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

 (2) Julie Daniels Missud.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend the claims to conform with the other claims wherein the native code interpretaion is of machine code. This language resulted in the allowance of all claims. The interview concluded.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)